

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/614,148  | 07/07/2003      | Peter Willaert       | 223468              | 7705             |
| 23460   | 7590 11/02/2006 | ·                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 |                 |                      | THOMPSON, CAMIE S   |                  |
| 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE                                  |                 | 3,1900               | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| CHICAGO,  | IL 60601-6731   |                      | 1774                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No.   Applicant(s)   WILLAERT ET AL.   | ` |
|--|---|
| Examiner Camile S. Thompson  1774  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be vasible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 53 (b) (MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. However, may a reply be timely filled after 53 (b) (MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or eventeded period for reply will, by state, cause the application to become ARMONDE (31 SLC 5 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Pailure to reply within the set or evented period for reply will, by state, cause the application to become ARMONDE (31 SLC 5 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.70(b). This action is non-final.  31 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filled on is/are withdrawn from consideration is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Ac                   |   |
| Camie S. Thompson 1774  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extentions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed sheet SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply wishin the set or extended period for reply will by statutus, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amdnement filed August 14, 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  10 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)                             |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a neply be timely filed after St. (6) MCMTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set of extended period for reply set of extended period for reply with the set of extended period for reply with the set of extended period for e |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the scior extended period for reply will y statute, cause the application to become ARANDOMED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any ceremoral patient time adjustment. See 57 CFR 1.704(s).  Status  1)  |   |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuse, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amdnement filed August 14, 2006.  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  — is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) — is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) — is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ** C) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents              |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amdnement filed August 14, 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |
| 2a  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4a) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4bplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  4pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  8pelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   | • |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15,17,20-29 and 32-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ⊠ Claim(s) 8-14, 22-29, 32-35 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15,17,20 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  | • |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |
| <ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>  |   |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:   |   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed August 14, 2006 are acknowledged.
- 2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 17, 20, 21 and 24.
- 3. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 16, 18-19 and 30-31.
- 4. Examiner acknowledges newly added claims 32-35.
- 5. The rejection of claims 16, 18-19 and 30-31 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Haare et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,994,496 is overcome by applicant's amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 15, 17, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Haare et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,994,496.

Van Haare discloses layers of conjugated polymers that may be used as a transparent coating on a display device or as an electrode layer in an electroluminescent device (see column 1, lines 5-12). The reference discloses 3,4-di(2-mehtylbutoxy)-2,5-thiophene as a preferred polymer (see column 3, lines 22-31). Column 5, lines 27-37 of the Van Haare reference discloses that at least one electrode (which can include both electrodes) has a transparent coating using a preferred polythiophene such as 3,4-di(2-methylbutoxy)-2,5-thiophene solution. Exemplary embodiment

Art Unit: 1774

4 discloses a 3,4-dialkoxythiophene wherein the two alkoxy groups are represented by  $OR^1$  and  $OR^2$  wherein  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  are C1-C4 alkyl (butoxy) with a methyl group as a substituent on the alkyl chain. Although the

Claims 8-14, 22-29 and 32-35 are allowed. The prior art does not provide for a process for producing an electroluminescent device comprising a transparent or translucent support, a transparent or translucent first electrode, a second conductive electrode and an electroluminescent phosphor layer sandwiched between said transparent or translucent first electrode and second conductive electrode, wherein said first and second electrodes each comprises a polymer or copolymer of 3,4-dialkoxythiophene, which may be the same or different, in which said two alkoxy groups may be the same or different or together represent an optionally substituted oxy-alkylene-oxy bridge, comprising the steps of: (I) coating a transparent or translucent support with a solution, a dispersion or a paste of a polymer or copolymer of a 3,4dialkoxythiophene to produce said transparent or translucent first conductive layer; (ii) coating said first conductive layer with a layer comprising an electroluminescent phosphor, (iii) coating said layer comprising an electroluminescent phosphor with a dielectric layer; and (iv) coating said dielectric layer with a solution, dispersion or paste comprising a polymer or copolymer of 3,4-dialkoxythiophene to produce said second conductive layer, wherein said polymer or copolymer of said 3,4-dialkoxythiophene in the solution, dispersion or pasted used in step (i) may be the same or different from said polymer or copolymer of said 3, 4-dialkoxythiophene used in the solution, dispersion or paste used in step (iv).

Additionally, the prior art does not provide for a process comprising the steps of: using a transparent paste comprising a polymer or copolymer of a 3,4-dialkoxythiophene, a polyacrylate

Application/Control Number: 10/614,148

Art Unit: 1774

thickener and a glycol derivative, and optionally a surfactant for producing an electrode of an electroluminescent device comprising a transparent or translucent support, a transparent or translucent first electrode, a second conductive electrode and an electroluminescent phosphor layer sandwiched between said transparent or translucent first electrode and said second conductive electrode, wherein said first and second electrodes each comprises a polymer or copolymer of a 3,4-dialkoxythiophene, which may be the same or different, in which said two alkoxy groups may be the same or different or together represent an optionally substituted oxyalkylene-oxy-bridge.

The prior art does not provide for a process for producing an electroluminescent device comprising a transparent or translucent support, a transparent or translucent first electrode, a second conductive electrode and an electroluminescent phosphor layer sandwiched between said transparent or translucent first electrode and second conductive electrode, wherein said first and second electrodes each comprises a polymer or copolymer of 3,4-dialkoxythiophene, which may be the same or different, in which said two alkoxy groups may be the same or different or together represent an optionally substituted oxy-alkylene-oxy bridge, comprising the steps of: (I) coating a transparent or translucent support with a solution, a dispersion or a paste of a polymer or copolymer of a 3,4-dialkoxythiophene to produce said transparent or translucent first conductive layer; (ii) coating said first conductive layer with a layer comprising an electroluminescent phosphor, (iii) coating said layer comprising an electroluminescent phosphor with a dielectric layer; and (iv) coating said dielectric layer with a solution, dispersion or paste comprising a polymer or copolymer of 3,4-dialkoxythiophene to produce said second conductive layer, wherein said polymer or copolymer of said 3,4-dialkoxythiophene in the solution,

Application/Control Number: 10/614,148

Art Unit: 1774

dispersion or pasted used in step (i) may be the same or different from said polymer or copolymer of said 3, 4-dialkoxythiophene used in the solution, dispersion or paste used in step (iv) and wherein said electroluminescent phosphor belongs to the class of II-IV semiconductiors or is a combination of a group II element with an oxidic anion.

The prior art does not provide for a process for producing an electroluminescent device comprising a transparent or translucent support, a transparent or translucent first electrode, a second conductive electrode and an electroluminescent phosphor layer sandwiched between said transparent or translucent first electrode and second conductive electrode, wherein said first and second electrodes each comprises a polymer or copolymer of 3,4-dialkoxythiophene, which may be the same or different, in which said two alkoxy groups may be the same or different or together represent an optionally substituted oxy-alkylene-oxy bridge, comprising the steps of: (I) coating a transparent or translucent support with a solution, a dispersion or a paste of a polymer or copolymer of a 3,4-dialkoxythiophene to produce said transparent or translucent first conductive layer; (ii) coating said first conductive layer with a layer comprising an electroluminescent phosphor, (iii) coating said layer comprising an electroluminescent phosphor with a dielectric layer; and (iv) coating said dielectric layer with a solution, dispersion or paste comprising a polymer or copolymer of 3,4-dialkoxythiophene to produce said second conductive layer, wherein said polymer or copolymer of said 3,4-dialkoxythiophene in the solution, dispersion or pasted used in step (i) may be the same or different from said polymer or copolymer of said 3, 4-dialkoxythiophene used in the solution, dispersion or paste used in step (iv) and wherein at least one of said two electrodes further comprises a polyanion compound.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,148 Page 6

Art Unit: 1774

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 14, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not 8. persuasive. As amended in instant claims 17, 20 and 21, it is not necessary for the dielectric layer to be present. Also, claim 17 recites that OR<sup>1</sup> and OR<sup>2</sup> wherein R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> represents a C1-C4 alkyl group. The reference discloses that R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are butoxy with a methyl group as a substituent on the alkyl chain. Also, claim 15 is directed to a process and not to the illuminated posters and signage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER AYA UNIT 1714